



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/729,935	12/09/2003	Daiki Ninomiya	245781US0	2382
22850	7590	02/23/2007		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER CHAWLA, JYOTI	
			ART UNIT 1761	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 02/23/2007	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com
oblonpat@oblon.com
jgardner@oblon.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/729,935	Applicant(s) NINOMIYA ET AL.	
	Examiner Jyoti Chawla	Art Unit 1761	

All Participants:

 (1) Jyoti Chawla.

 (2) Surinder Sachar.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 16 February 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



MILTON I. CANO
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1701



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The statutory time period for the reply to the restriction requirement was discussed and the mistatement on the office action summary mailed Feb 7, 2007 was acknowledged and corrected. The applicant was informed that the correct statutory period is 1 month from the date of mailing of the restriction requirement .